

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:	Daniel Paul Karipides		
Assignee:	Versata Development Group, Inc.		
Title:	Identifying Quality User Sessions and Determining Product Demand with High Resolution Capabilities		
Serial No.:	10/669,148	Filed:	October 31, 2003
Examiner:	Romain Jeanty	Group Art Unit:	3623
Docket No.:	T00105	Customer No.:	33438

April 23, 2009

FILED ELECTRONICALLY

**RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF NON-COMPLIANT AMENDMENT**

Sir:

This paper is responsive to the Response to Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment dated March 23, 2009, having a shortened statutory period expiring on April 23, 2009.

The Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment indicated that Applicant's Response To Non-Final Office Action filed on January 12, 2009 ("January Response") was non-compliant because "The paper reflecting the amendment to the claims filed on 1/12/2009 does not correspond with the instant application." Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment, p. 5. Applicant respectfully disagrees. Applicant has confirmed on PAIR that the claims filed in the January Response do correspond with the instant application. Additionally, Applicant did not amend any claims in the January Response. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that January Response was a proper response in compliance with 37 CFR § 1.111.

For the Examiner's convenience, Applicant is hereby filing an identical copy of the January Response with this Response to Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment.

Further examination and reconsideration are respectfully requested.

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that on April 23, 2009 this correspondence is being transmitted via the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office's electronic filing system.

*/Kent B. Chambers/*

Respectfully submitted,

*/Kent B. Chambers/*

Kent B. Chambers  
Attorney for Applicant(s)  
Reg. No. 38,839

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:	Daniel Paul Karipides		
Assignee:	Versata Development Group, Inc.		
Title:	Identifying Quality User Sessions and Determining Product Demand with High Resolution Capabilities		
Serial No.:	10/669,148	Filed:	October 31, 2003
Examiner:	Romain Jeanty	Group Art Unit:	3623
Docket No.:	T00105	Customer No.:	33438

---

April 23, 2009

FILED ELECTRONICALLY

**RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION**

Sir:

This paper is responsive to the Office Action dated July 10, 2008, having a shortened statutory period expiring on October 10, 2008. Accompanying this response is a petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 for extension of time by three (3) months, setting a new time for response of January 12, 2009 (January 10, 2009 being a Sunday). Further examination and reconsideration are respectfully requested in view of the amendments and remarks set forth below.